Long–lived Quantum Coherence between Macroscopically Distinct States in Superradiance

Daniel Braun[(1)], Petr A. Braun[(1,2)] and Fritz Haake[(1)]
[(1)] *FB7, Universität–GHS Essen, 45 117 Essen, Germany*
[(2)] *Department of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Physics, Saint-Petersburg University, Saint-Petersburg 198904, Russia*

The dephasing influence of a dissipative environment reduces linear superpositions of macroscopically distinct quantum states (sometimes also called Schrödinger cat states) usually *almost immediately* to a statistical mixture. This process is called decoherence. Couplings to the environment with a certain symmetry can lead to *slow* decoherence. In this Letter we show that the collective coupling of a large number of two–level atoms to an electromagnetic field mode in a cavity that leads to the phenomena of superradiance has such a symmetry, at least approximately. We construct superpositions of macroscopically distinct quantum states decohering only on a *classical* time scale and propose an experiment in which the extraordinarily slow decoherence should be observable.

arXiv:quant-ph/9903040v1 11 Mar 1999

A Schrödinger cat state is a superposition of two quantum states that differ on a macroscopic scale. While commonplace in the microscopic world, superpositions of macroscopically distinct states have never been observed. In other words, only probabilities and never probability amplitudes are met with macroscopically. Our understanding of why this is so has evolved considerably with the development of the quantum mechanics of dissipative systems [1,2]: Dissipation due to the coupling to an environment with a large number of degrees of freedom causes a superposition $|\psi\rangle = c_1|\psi_1\rangle + c_2|\psi_2\rangle$ to decohere very rapidly towards the mixture $\rho = |c_1|^2|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| + |c_2|^2|\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|$. "Rapidly" means on a time scale much shorter than that on which the mixture changes thereafter provided, of course, that $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ are macroscopically distinct. Recent experiments of Haroche *et al.* [9,10] begin to validate this picture by controlling the difference between two states put to a superposition and following their decoherence. A general rule for the ratio of time scales for decoherence (T_{dec}) and subsequent near–classical motion (T_{class}) has become popular for the case when one can associate a classical distance D in phase space with the pair of states $|\psi_1\rangle$, $|\psi_2\rangle$, $T_{dec}/T_{class} = (\hbar/D)^p$ with some positive power p [3–8]. The phantastic smallness of this factor for macroscopic values of D makes quantum states of the Schrödinger cat type so unfamiliar in the macroscopic world.

The situation is different, however, if the coupling to the environment has a symmetry in the following sense. Suppose that in a Hamiltonian $H_{int} = \hat{A} f(\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}\})$ a "coupling agent" $\hat{A}$ couples the system to the environment; the position and momentum operators $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ represent environmental degrees of freedom. With symmetry of the coupling we mean that $\hat{A}$ has at least one degenerate eigenvalue, i.e. there are at least two linearly independent states $|\Phi_1\rangle$ and $|\Phi_2\rangle$ such that $\hat{A}|\Phi_1\rangle = a|\Phi_1\rangle$ and $\hat{A}|\Phi_2\rangle = a|\Phi_2\rangle$. It has been pointed out by Zurek that rapid decoherence arises only between subspaces pertaining to different eigenvalues of $\hat{A}$ [3], whereas the coherence of any syperposition within the subspace pertaining to the same degenerate eigenvalue is not affected by the environment. The linear combination $|\Phi\rangle = c_1|\Phi_1\rangle + c_2|\Phi_2\rangle$ does therefore *not* show accelerated decoherence, even if $|\Phi_1\rangle$ and $|\Phi_2\rangle$ are macroscopically distinct. Loosely speaking, the environment cannot distinguish in which of the two states the system is. The state $|\Phi\rangle$ remains in principle a pure state for ever if the system dynamics is restricted to the subspace pertaining to the degenerate eigenvalue a of the coupling agent. In the context of Markovian master equations this was recently proven rigorously by Lidar *et al.*; and an application to decoherence free quantum computing was proposed [11]. As we shall see below, longevity may even survive weak symmetry breaking.

In the following we briefly recall the quantum optical system that gives rise to superradiance. We show that the coupling to the environment has a symmetry in the above sense and identify coherent states as approximate eigenstates of the coupling agents. We calculate the decoherence rates for superpositions of two coherent states and identify the superpositions with long–lived quantum coherence. In the end we propose an experimental realization.

The system considered is well known from superradiance [12] where many identical two–level atoms in a cavity radiate collectively. We formally assign to each two–level atom a spin–$\frac{1}{2}$ operator whose z–component tells us whether the atom is in its lower or upper state. The collection of atoms is described by a Bloch vector $\mathbf{J}$, the sum of all spin–$\frac{1}{2}$ operators and thus formally an angular momentum with maximal amplitude $j = N/2$, which is huge if the number N of atoms is. The dynamics conserves the square of $\mathbf{J}$. The Hilbert space therefore decomposes into $(2j+1)$–dimensional subspaces with $\mathbf{J}^2 = j(j+1)$, of which we shall always imagine the one with the maximal $j = N/2$ selected. The atoms are coupled to the electromagnetic field in the cavity by an interaction of the form

$$H_{int} = \hbar g (J_+ a + J_- a^\dagger)\,, \tag{1}$$

where $J_\pm = J_x \pm iJ_y$ are raising and lowering operators of the angular momentum while $a^\dagger$ and a are creation and annihilation operators for an electromagnetic mode of the cavity in resonance with the atomic transition. That mode is itself damped due to its coupling to the continuum of modes of the electromagnetic field outside the cavity. The coupling constant g is a Rabi frequency for the coupling of a single atom with the mode vacuum. Dissipation ultimately arises by the non–ideal mirrors of the cavity which allow photons to leak out and lead to an effectively permanent energy loss of the atomic system.

Under reasonable simplifying assumptions (the most important are: (i) good time scale separation between the reservoir and the system composed of atoms and resonator mode, (ii) environment temperature in the range $\hbar\kappa \ll k_B T \ll \hbar\omega_0$ where κ is the damping rate of the mode amplitude, and (iii) $\kappa \gg g\sqrt{N}$ to suppress damped oscillations of the Bloch vector) the dynamics of $\mathbf{J}$ is well described by a master equation for the reduced atomic density operator ρ [14],

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\rho(\tau) = \frac{1}{2j}([J_-, \rho(\tau)J_+] + [J_-\rho(\tau), J_+]) \equiv \Lambda\rho(\tau)\,. \quad (2)$$

The dimensionless time τ is in units of the inverse classical damping rate, $\tau = t/T_{class}$. Note that the rate of transitions of a *single* atom from its upper state to the ground state is g^2/κ while Ng^2/κ is the rate for the *collective* motion of all atoms. It is the latter rate which gives the classical time scale $T_{class} = \kappa/(Ng^2)$ of the superradiant dynamics. Moreover, photons extracted from the initial atomic excitation become available for detection outside the cavity on the time scale T_{class} which is N times shorter than for non–collective radiation.

As j is connected to the number of atoms by $j = N/2$ it is obvious that the system becomes macroscopic for $j \to \infty$. This limit corresponds in fact to the classical limit, as can be seen more formally from the fact that the classical phase space of the problem is the unit sphere $\mathbf{J}^2 = j(j+1) = const.$ [13]. Since it contains $2j+1$ states in the representation selected we may think of $\hbar$ as represented by $1/j$. The smallness of this parameter compared to 1 decides how close we are to the classical limit $\hbar \to 0$. In the experiments N was typically of the order of 10^5 [16]. One might thus prefer to speak of mesoscopic rather than macroscopic angular momentum states.

Classically $\mathbf{J}$ behaves like an overdamped pendulum: If we specify the orientation of $\mathbf{J}/j$ by a polar angle θ and an azimutal one φ, the classical limit of (2) yields $d\theta/d\tau = \sin\theta$ and $\varphi = $ const.. This proves that τ is indeed the time in units of the classical time scale. An exact solution of (2) was already obtained in [14]; a systematic semiclassical treatment can be found in [15]. But most importantly, it has been experimentally verified that the superradiance master equation (2) correctly describes the radiation by identical atoms resonantly coupled to a leaky resonator mode [16].

The states of the system that correspond most closely to classical states are the so–called angular momentum coherent states $|\gamma\rangle$ [17,18]. They correspond to a classical angular momentum pointing in the direction given by θ and φ with minimal uncertainty $\sim 1/j$. The complex amplitude γ is connected with θ and φ via $\gamma = \tan(\theta/2)e^{i\varphi}$. In terms of eigenstates $|j,m\rangle$ of $\mathbf{J}^2$ and J_z (with the respective eigenvalues $j(j+1)$ and m) one has the expansion

$$|\gamma\rangle = (1+\gamma\gamma^*)^{-j} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} \gamma^{j-m} \sqrt{\binom{2j}{j-m}} |j,m\rangle\,. \quad (3)$$

The angular momentum coherent states are approximate eigenstates of J_- in the sense that the angle between $J_-|\gamma\rangle$ and $|\gamma\rangle$ is of the order of $1/\sqrt{j}$. Indeed, if we define this angle α for real γ by $|\langle\gamma|J_-|\gamma\rangle|^2 = \langle\gamma|\gamma\rangle\langle\gamma|J_+J_-|\gamma\rangle\cos^2\alpha$ one easily shows that

$$\cos^2\alpha = \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\sin^2\theta + \frac{2}{j}\cos^4\frac{\theta}{2}} \quad (4)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{j}\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{j^2}) \text{ for } \sin\theta \neq 0\,. \quad (5)$$

The corresponding approximate eigenvalue is given by $J_-|\gamma\rangle \simeq j\sin\theta e^{-i\varphi}|\gamma\rangle$ and immediately reveals a fundamental symmetry: Since $\sin\theta_1 = \sin\theta_2$ for $\theta_2 = \pi - \sin\theta_1$, two different coherent states $|\gamma_1\rangle$ and $|\gamma_2\rangle$ can have the same approximate eigenvalue.

Consider now a Schrödinger cat state composed of two coherent states,

$$|\Phi\rangle = c_1|\gamma_1\rangle + c_2|\gamma_2\rangle\,, \quad (6)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are properly normalized but otherwise arbitrary complex coefficients. We will show that $|\Phi\rangle$ in general experiences decoherence on the scale $\tau \sim \frac{1}{j}$ which is shorter by the factor $1/j$ than the classical time scale. Our central prediction is, however, that such *accelerated decoherence is absent for Schrödinger cat states with* $\gamma_1\gamma_2^* = 1$. Such two states correspond to two classical angular momenta arranged in the plane $\varphi = \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ symmetrically with respect to the equator $\theta = \pi/2$ and therefore profit from the mentioned symmetry in the coupling, i.e. $\sin\theta_1 = \sin\theta_2$. A particular such state is the "antipodal polar" one with $\gamma_1 = 0$ and $\gamma_2 = \infty$ alias $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\theta_2 = \pi$; in superradiance parlance, it is the superposition of "all up" and "all down".

To prove the longevity of the exceptional Schrödinger cat states we need to look at the initial density matrix $\rho(0) = |c_1|^2|\gamma_1\rangle\langle\gamma_1| + c_1c_2^*|\gamma_1\rangle\langle\gamma_2| + \ldots$. Since the evolution equation (2) of $\rho(\tau) = \exp(\Lambda\tau)\rho(0)$ is linear it suffices to discuss the fate of $\exp(\Lambda\tau)(|\gamma\rangle\langle\gamma'|) \equiv \rho(\gamma,\gamma',\tau)$ where γ and γ' may the take values γ_1 and γ_2. The relative weights of the four $\rho(\gamma,\gamma',\tau)$ can be studied in terms of either one of the norms

$$N_1(\gamma,\gamma'\tau) = \mathrm{tr}\,\rho(\gamma,\gamma',\tau)\rho^\dagger(\gamma,\gamma',\tau)\,,$$
$$N_2(\gamma,\gamma'\tau) = \sum_{m_1,m_2=-j}^{j} |\langle j,m_1|\rho(\gamma,\gamma',\tau)|j,m_2\rangle|\,. \quad (7)$$

We shall see presently that these norms evolve on the classical time scale $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for $\gamma=\gamma'$ while $\gamma\neq\gamma'$ in general yields decay on the shorter time scale $\sim 1/j$; it is only the exceptional offdiagonal cases given above which decay on the classical time scale.

The foregoing assertions are based on the following three analytical results:

(i) The initial time derivative of $N_1(\gamma,\gamma',\tau)$ reads

$$\frac{dN_1(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\tau)}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} = -\frac{1}{2}\left((1+\cos\theta_1)^2+(1+\cos\theta_2)^2\right)$$
$$-\,j\left(\sin^2\theta_1+\sin^2\theta_2-2\cos(\varphi_2-\varphi_1)\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2\right)\,. \quad (8)$$

The first term obviously describes evolution on the classical time scale while the second, being proportional to j, can give rise to motion on the shorter time scale $1/j$. The "fast" term vanishes for $\gamma_1=\gamma_2$; while it is present in general for $\gamma_1\neq\gamma_2$ we read off its absence for the exceptional cases $\varphi_1=\varphi_2$, $\sin\theta_1=\sin\theta_2$; since $\sin\theta$ is symmetrical about $\theta=\pi/2$ the long–lived Schrödinger cat states are indeed revealed as superposition of coherent states oriented symmetrically with respect to the equatorial plane. The proof of (8) is a back-of-the-envelope calculation involving the expectation values $\langle\gamma|J_\pm|\gamma\rangle = je^{\pm i\varphi}\sin\theta$ and $\langle\gamma|J_+J_-|\gamma\rangle = |\langle\gamma|J_-|\gamma\rangle|^2 + 2j\cos^4(\theta/2)$ in $\frac{dN_1(\gamma,\gamma',\tau)}{d\tau} = \mathrm{tr}(\frac{d\rho}{d\tau}\rho^\dagger + \rho\frac{d\rho^\dagger}{d\tau})$.

(ii) For the polar antipodal Schrödinger cat state $|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|j,j\rangle+|j,-j\rangle)$ corresponding to $\gamma_1=0$ and $\gamma_2=\infty$ or $\theta_1=0$ and $\theta_2=\pi$, the time–dependent norms are easily found exactly. The master equation (2) yields a single differential equation for the matrix element $\langle j,j|\rho(\tau)|j,-j\rangle$, $\frac{d}{d\tau}\langle j,j|\rho|j,-j\rangle = -\langle j,j|\rho(\tau)|j,-j\rangle$. The norm of the offdiagonal parts therefore decays as $N_1(0,\infty,\tau) = N_1(\infty,0,\tau) = e^{-2\tau}$, i.e. on classical time scale! The same conclusion can be drawn from the second norm which yields $N_2(0,\infty,\tau) = N_2(\infty,0,\tau) = e^{-\tau}$. The polar antipodal cat is therefore definitely long–lived.

(iii) Inasmuch as the initial slope $\frac{d}{d\tau}N_1(\gamma,\gamma',\tau)\,|_{\tau=0}$ could in principle be deceptive it is desirable to follow the evolution for the general state(6) to positive times, at least to times of order $\tau\sim 1/j$. For times τ with $0\leq j\tau\ll 1$, a somewhat involved semiclassical evaluation of the norm $N_2(\tau)$ for $\varphi_1=\varphi_2$ (for simplicity we restrict ourselves to $\varphi_1=0=\varphi_2$, i.e. to real γ_1,γ_2), which we shall present elsewhere, leads to

$$\frac{N_2(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\tau)}{N_2(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,0)} \approx \exp\left(-2j\frac{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)^2(1-\gamma_1\gamma_2)^2}{((1+\gamma_1^2)(1+\gamma_2^2))^2}\tau\right)\,. \quad (9)$$

The corrections are of relative order $1/j$. This means accelerated decoherence as long as $\gamma_1\neq\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_1\gamma_2\neq 1$. If, however, $\gamma_1\gamma_2=1$ the next order in $1/j$ shows that

$$\frac{N_2(\gamma_1,\frac{1}{\gamma_1},\tau)}{N_2(\gamma_1,\frac{1}{\gamma_1},0)} = \exp\Big(-\left(\frac{\gamma_1^2-1}{\gamma_1^2+1}\right)^2\tau \quad (10)$$
$$-\frac{3\gamma_1^8-3\gamma_1^6+4\gamma_1^4-3\gamma_1^2+3}{2(\gamma_1^2+1)^4}\tau^2\Big)\,.$$

This expression is correct up to and including order $(j\tau)^2$. Obviously, accelerated decoherence is absent in this case. Indeed, a pure coherent state $\gamma_1=\gamma_2=\gamma$ leads, in linear order in $j\tau$, to almost the same decay,

$$\frac{N_2(\gamma,\gamma,\tau)}{N_2(\gamma,\gamma,0)} = \exp\left(-\gamma^4\left(\frac{\gamma^2-1}{\gamma^2+1}\right)^2\tau\right)\,. \quad (11)$$

The long–lived coherences described above should be experimentally observable. We now propose a scheme for the preparation of the special long–lived Schrödinger cat states. It is based on the result by Agarwal *et al* [25] that a strongly detuned cavity (detuning δ between mode frequency and atomic frequency $\gg\kappa$) leads to an effectively unitary evolution $\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H_{eff},\rho]$ with a non–linear Hamiltonian

$$H_{eff} = \hbar\eta J_+J_- \text{ with } \eta = \frac{g^2\delta}{\kappa^2+\delta^2}\,. \quad (12)$$

Due to the nonlinearity a coherent state $|\theta,\varphi\rangle$ evolves into a superposition of several coherent states. At time $t=\frac{\pi}{m\eta}$ where m is an even integer, the state consists of m components $|\theta,\varphi+\pi\frac{2q-N+1}{m}\rangle$, $q=0,1,\ldots,m-1$. In particular, after the time $t=\frac{\pi}{2\eta}$ the coherent state has evolved into the superposition

$$\frac{e^{-i(N-\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|\theta,\varphi-\pi\frac{N-1}{2}\rangle - i|\theta,\varphi-\pi\frac{N-3}{2}\rangle\right)\,, \quad (13)$$

i.e. the azimuths of the two components differ by π. The preparation of the special long–lived Schrödinger cat states involves three steps. During the whole preparation the dissipation due to the superradiance damping can be effectively turned off by the described strong detuning of the cavity.

One starts with the mode in the vacuum state and all atoms in their ground state and applies a resonant coherent laser pulse to bring the atoms in a coherent state $|\theta,\varphi\rangle$ with $j=N/2$. Second, one lets the atoms evolve freely in the detuned cavity for the time $t=\frac{\pi}{2\eta}$ and thus "dispersively" turns the coherent state into a superposition of two such, $|\theta,\varphi'\rangle$ and $|\theta,\varphi'+\pi\rangle$, as described above. Finally, a resonant coherent $\pi/2$ pulse brings the superposition to the desired orientation symmetric to the equator, by rotation through the angle $\pi/2$ about an axis perpendicular to the plane defined by the directions of the two coherent states produced in step two. The angle

θ which was the same for both components after step two becomes the angle with respect to the equator.

Presently used techniques for preparing very cold two-level atoms in a superposition of "up" and "down" and transporting them inside high-Q resonators work atom by atom [9,10]; they might be modified so as to place collections of several such atoms into a resonator, thus producing the long–lived Schrödinger cat state.

We have enjoyed and profited from discussions with Girish Agarwal, Serge Haroche, Walter Strunz, Dan Walls, and Peter Zoller. Financial support by the Sonderforschungsbereich "Unordnung und große Fluktuationen" of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged. An anonymous referee deserves a thankyou for bringing Ref. [11] to our attention.

---

[1] R.P.Feynman and F.C.Vernon Jr., Ann.Phys.(NY) **24**,118 (1963).
[2] For an overview see U.Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems*, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore (1993).
[3] W.H.Zurek, Phys.Rev.D**26**, 1862 (1982); Prog.Theo.Phys. **89**, 281 (1993); Physics today, **44**, No.10, 36 (1991).
[4] A.O.Calderia, A.J.Leggett, Ann.Phys.(NY) **149**,374 (1983).
[5] D.F.Walls and G.J.Milburn, Phys. Rev. **A31** 2403 1985
[6] F.Haake, D.F.Walls, Phys.Rev.A **36**, 730 (1987).
[7] F. Haake, M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. **A47**, 2506 (1993).
[8] W.T.Strunz, J.Phys.A: Math. Gen **30**, 4053 (1997).
[9] M.Brune, E.Hagley, J.Dreyer, X.Mâitre, A.Maali, C.Wunderlich, J.M.Raimond, and S.Haroche, Phys.Rev.Lett. **77**, 4887 (1996).
[10] S. Haroche, Physics today, **51**, No.7, 36 (1998).
[11] D.A.Lidar, I.L.Chuang, and K.B.Whaley Phys.Rev.Lett. **81**, 2594 (1998).
[12] For a review of the phenomen of superradiance, see M.Gross and S.Haroche, Physics Reports (Review Section of Physics Letters), **93**, N5, 301-396 (1982).
[13] F.Haake *Quantum Signatures of Chaos*, Springer, Berlin (1991).
[14] R.Bonifacio, P.Schwendimann, and F.Haake, Phys.Rev.A, **4**, 302 (1971); Phys.Rev.A, **4**, 854 (1971).
[15] P.A.Braun, D.Braun, F.Haake and J.Weber, Eur.Phys.J. D**2**, 165 (1998); P.A.Braun, D.Braun, F.Haake, Eur.Phys.J. D**3**, 1 (1998).
[16] M. Gross, C. Fabre, P. Pillet, and S. Haroche, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 1035 (1976); M.Gross, P. Goy, C. Fabre, S. Haroche, and J.M. Raimond, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 343 (1979).
[17] F.Haake and R.Glauber, Phys. Rev. **A5**, 1457 (1972).
[18] F.T.Arecchi, E.Courtens, G.Gilmore, and H.Thomas, Phys. Rev. **A 6**, 2211 (1972).
[19] G.Lindblad, Comm.Math.Phys. **48**, 119 (1976).
[20] C.C.Gerry, E.E. Hach III, Phys. Lett. **A174**, 185 (1993).
[21] B.M. Garraway, P.L. Knight, Phys. Rev. **A49**, 1266 (1994).
[22] R.L. de Matos Filho, W. Vogel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 608 (1996).
[23] J.F. Poyatos, J.I. Cirac, P.Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4728 (1996).
[24] D.Giulini, E.Joos, C.Kiefer, J.Kupsch, I.-O. Stamatescu, and H.D.Zeh, *Decoherence and the Appearance of a Classical World in Quantum Theory*, Springer (Berlin 1996).
[25] G.S.Agarwal, R.R. Puri, R.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. **A56**, 2249 (1997) and references therein.